

# *Exhibit C*

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
TYLER DIVISION

CELLULAR COMMUNICATIONS )  
EQUIPMENT, LLC )  
 ) DOCKET NO. 6:14cv251  
-vs- )  
 ) Tyler, Texas  
 ) 8:30 a.m.  
APPLE INC., ET AL ) September 7, 2016

TRANSCRIPT OF TRIAL  
MORNING SESSION  
BEFORE THE HONORABLE K. NICOLE MITCHELL,  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

A P P E A R A N C E S

FOR THE PLAINTIFF:

MR. BRADLEY W. CALDWELL  
MR. JOHN AUSTIN CURRY  
CALDWELL CASSADY & CURRY  
2101 Cedar Springs Rd., Suite 1000  
Dallas, Texas 75201

MR. EDWARD R. NELSON III  
NELSON BUMGARDNER PC  
3131 West 7th Street, Suite 300  
Fort Worth, Texas 76107

MR. J. WESLEY HILL  
WARD, SMITH & HILL PLLC  
1507 Bill Owens Parkway  
Longview, Texas 75604

COURT REPORTER: MS. CHRISTINA L. BICKHAM, CRR, RMR  
FEDERAL OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER  
300 Willow, Ste. 221  
Beaumont, Texas 77701

Proceedings taken by Machine Stenotype; transcript was  
produced by a Computer.

1 FOR THE DEFENDANTS:

2 MR. DOUGLAS E. LUMISH  
3 MR. JEFFREY G. HOMRIG  
4 MS. LISA K. NGUYEN  
5 MR. BRETT M. SANDFORD  
6 LATHAM & WATKINS LLP  
7 140 Scott Dr.  
8 Menlo Park, California 94025-1008

9 MR. JOSEPH H. LEE  
10 LATHAM & WATKINS LLP  
11 650 Town Center Drive, 20th Floor  
12 Costa Mesa, California 92626-1925

13 MR. ERIC H. FINDLAY  
14 FINDLAY CRAFT PC  
15 102 N. College Avenue, Suite 900  
16 Tyler, Texas 75702

17

18

19 \*\*\*\*\*

20 P R O C E E D I N G S

21 (Jury out.)

22 COURT SECURITY OFFICER: All rise.

23 THE COURT: All right. Let's take up the matters  
24 we need to discuss before we bring in the jury this morning.

25 Who's first?

MR. NELSON: I believe the only issues are the --  
are Apple's issues, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. LUMISH: Very briefly, Your Honor. We just had  
a couple of concerns about the demonstratives for

1 Q. That's the same thing that was in the Samsung proposal  
2 from October, isn't it?

3 A. To describe the context of the invention, yes.

4 Q. You didn't name Samsung or anybody from Samsung as an  
5 inventor in your '820 patent, did you?

6 A. I did not, since it is for the context.

7 Q. It's for the context.

8 Let's look at Claim 8.

9 A. Not to my reading.

10 THE REPORTER: I'm sorry, what?

11 A. Not to my reading.

12 Q. (By Mr. Lumish) So here's Claim 8 of the patent. You  
13 understand the claims are where you tell the public what  
14 you've invented, right?

15 A. Yes.

16 Q. And you propose a 6 bit buffer size; isn't that true?

17 A. That is true.

18 Q. And if we go to Claim 19.

19 Not for context, but as the claims of what you invented,  
20 you claimed a 6 bit buffer size, don't you?

21 A. Yes.

22 Q. Yet you admitted yesterday, and I think you'll admit  
23 again today, you didn't invent the idea of a 6 bit buffer  
24 size.

25 A. Correct. In isolation, no. I agree.